ELSEVIER

The phylogeny of Mediterranean tortoises and their close relatives based on complete mitochondrial genome sequences from museum specimens

James F. Parham [a,b,*], J. Robert Macey [a,c], Theodore J. Papenfuss [c],
Chris R. Feldman [d], Oguz Türkozan [e], Rosa Polymeni [f], Jeffrey Boore [a,g]

[a] *Department of Evolutionary Genomics, DOE Joint Genome Institute and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory,
2800 Mitchell Drive, Walnut Creek, CA 94598, USA*
[b] *Museum of Paleontology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA*
[c] *Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA*
[d] *Department of Biology, Utah State University, Logan, UT 84322, USA*
[e] *Adnan Menderes University, Faculty of Science and Arts, Department of Biology, 09010 Aydin, Turkey*
[f] *Department of Zoology and Marine Biology, Faculty of Biology, School of Science, University of Athens,
GR 157 84 Panepistimioupolis, Athens, Greece*
[g] *Department of Integrative Biology, 3060 Valley Life Science Building, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA*

Abstract

As part of an ongoing project to generate a mitochondrial database for terrestrial tortoises based on museum specimens, the complete mitochondrial genome sequences of 10 species and a ~14 kb sequence from an eleventh species are reported. The sampling of the present study emphasizes Mediterranean tortoises (genus *Testudo* and their close relatives). Our new sequences are aligned, along with those of two testudinoid turtles from GenBank, *Chrysemys picta* and *Mauremys reevesii*, yielding an alignment of 14,858 positions, of which 3238 are parsimony informative. We develop a phylogenetic taxonomy for *Testudo* and related species based on well-supported, diagnosable clades. Several well-supported nodes are recovered, including the monophyly of a restricted *Testudo*, *T. kleinmanni* + *T. marginata* (the *Chersus* clade), and the placement of the enigmatic African pancake tortoise (*Malacochersus tornieri*) within the predominantly Palearctic greater *Testudo* group (*Testudona* tax. nov.). Despite the large amount of sequence reported, there is low statistical support for some nodes within *Testudona* and so we do not propose names for those groups. A preliminary and conservative estimation of divergence times implies a late Miocene diversification for the *testudonan* clade (6–10 million years ago), matching their first appearance in the fossil record. The multi-continental distribution of *testudonan* turtles can be explained by the establishment of permanent connections between Europe, Africa, and Asia at this time. The arrival of *testudonan* turtles to Africa occurred after one or more initial tortoise invasions gave rise to the diverse (>25 species) 'Geochelone complex.' Two unusual genomic features are reported for the mtDNA of one tortoise, *M. tornieri*: (1) *nad4* has a shift of reading frame that we suggest is resolved by translational frameshifting of the mRNA on the ribosome during protein synthesis and (2) there are two copies of the control region and *trnF*, with the latter having experienced multiple-nucleotide substitutions in a pattern suggesting that each is being maintained by selection.
© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Reptilia; Testudines; Testudinidae; *Testudo*; *Agrionemys*; *Indotestudo*; *Malacochersus*; Mitochondrial genomes; Fossils; Systematics; Gene duplications; Taxonomy; Biogeography; Africa; Asia; Europe; Mediterranean

---

* Corresponding author. Fax: +1 510 642 1822.
  E-mail address: parham@socrates.berkeley.edu (J.F. Parham).

1. Introduction

1.1. Preface

Tortoises (Testudinidae Gray, 1825) are a clade of terrestrial turtles that originated in Asia in the early Cenozoic (∼60 million years ago) and then rapidly dispersed to Europe, Africa, and the New World (Holroyd and Parham, 2003) alongside early radiations of placental mammals (Beard, 1998). Despite boasting a rich fossil record (Auffenberg, 1974) and diverse living members (Ernst and Barbour, 1989), the evolutionary relationships of tortoises remain poorly known. Sadly, the uncertainties about the history of tortoises are matched by uncertainties about their future. Tortoises face serious threats to their survival throughout their range including habitat destruction and over-harvesting for food, traditional medicine, and the pet trade. Their global decline is reflected by the fact that Testudinidae is the only polytypic family of non-marine turtles afforded blanket CITES protection.

As part of an effort to generate a robust phylogeny for tortoises to aid in the reconstruction of their paleo-biogeography and morphological evolution, we are assembling a large mitochondrial database generated from museum specimens representing all major tortoise lineages. Our first report of this work focuses on the turtles of the genus *Testudo* Linnaeus, 1758 and their closest relatives (Fig. 1). For this study, we report


Fig. 1. Map showing the approximate distribution of the ingroup (*Testudo* and related species) modified from Bour (2004). (A) Non-*Testudo* members of the ingroup. (B) Species of *Testudo*; arrows indicate disjunct populations of *T. graeca* (black), *T. marginata* (white, Sardinia), and *T. kleinammni* (white, N. Africa); *T. marginata* and *T. kleinmanni* include ventral views to show the characteristic black triangles mentioned in the text. See acknowledgments for image credits.

the complete mitochondrial genomes of 10 museum specimens including *Testudo* species and closely related tortoises as well as a ∼14 kb sequence from an eleventh tortoise species (Appendix A). Beyond identifying well-supported, readily diagnosable nodes to anchor useful clade names, we also use our phylogeny to reassess aspects of the paleobiogeography of these tortoises. Some unique genomic features of the pancake tortoise, *Malacochersus tornieri* (Siebenrock, 1903), are also discussed.

1.2. Establishing a working concept of Testudo

In the first work to use valid binomial names for animals, the 10th edition of *Systema Naturae* (Linnaeus, 1758), every turtle species was placed in the genus *Testudo*. For the rest of the 18th century, authors automatically put newly described turtle species into *Testudo* which, in the scientific literature, simply meant 'turtle.' But in 1800, Brongniart split sea turtles out of *Testudo* into their own genus: *Chelonia*. This opened the doors to over two hundred years of subjective splitting and, since that time, the content of *Testudo* has been aggressively winnowed as species groups were carved into many new genera. Given the ever-shrinking and shifting content of the genus *Testudo*, we feel the need to establish an explicit working concept of the name. Lapparent de Broin (2001) recently suggested that the genus name *Testudo* be restricted to just three terrestrial species (from the Mediterranean region, the Caucasus, and Iran) that have kinetic hinges in the ventral shell (plastron): *Testudo graeca* Linnaeus, 1758 (type species), *Testudo marginata* Schoepff, 1792, *Testudo kleinmanni* Lortet, 1883. We provisionally refer to this group as *Testudo*. A recent phylogenetic study based on mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) using sequences of *rrnS* (Kuyl et al., 2002) tested the relationships of these species to other tortoises and found strong support for the monophyly of *Testudo* as recognized here.

Splitting has also occurred at the species level, with some authors (e.g., Perälä, 2001; Perälä and Bour, 2004) recognizing morphometrically diagnosable populations of *Testudo* as distinct taxa. We cannot evaluate the validity of these taxonomic decisions without a better understanding of the genetic variation within and among populations, especially where the ranges of proposed taxa come into contact. Ongoing studies in this area (Fritz et al., in press; Harris et al., 2003; Kuyl et al., 2002, 2005) suggest that at least some of the proposed divisions within *Testudo* are premature. Pending more data, we feel justified in employing a conservative species-level taxonomy that retains the three 'classic' species of *Testudo*, all of which are well-recognized, uncontroversial, monophyletic groups. The alternative would be to reinforce untested taxonomic conclusions that may potentially cloud the scientific and conservation literature with ephemeral taxa.

1.3. The tortoises formerly known as Testudo

The mtDNA study of Kuyl et al. (2002) found moderate support for a close relationship between *Testudo* and three Eurasian lineages: (1) *Indotestudo* Lindholm, 1929, a genus that includes three species from India and southeast Asia; (2) *Agrionemys horsfieldii* (Gray, 1844) from the desert steppes of Central Asia; and (3) '*Testudo*' *hermanni* Gmelin, 1789 from coastal regions of the northern Mediterranean. These three lineages represent the most recent taxonomic splits from *Testudo* (Bour, 1980; Khozatsky and Mlynasrski, 1966; Lapparent de Broin, 2001).

The taxonomic status of '*T.*' *hermanni* is not resolved. Some authors (Gmira, 1993, 1995; Kuyl et al., 2002) recommended placing the European tortoise, '*T.*' *hermanni*, in the genus *Agrionemys* Khozatsky and Mlynasrski, 1966 with *A. horsfieldii*, but this is based only on weak morphological or molecular support for their association to the exclusion of other tortoises. Lapparent de Broin (2001) did not accept this scheme, but did consider '*T.*' *hermanni* as separate from *Testudo*. In this study we refrain from giving *hermanni* any official genus name, but refer to it as a '*Testudo*' (with single quotes to denote uncertainty) pending more definitive evidence of its evolutionary affinities.

1.4. The phylogenetic position of the Testudo group among tortoises

At a broader scale, the phylogenetic relationships of *Testudo*, and species recently split from *Testudo*, to the diverse tortoise fauna from sub-Saharan Africa are better known. It is clear that most of the sub-Saharan tortoises belong to a '*Geochelone* complex' that is separate from the greater *Testudo* group based on morphological and molecular evidence (Crumly, 1984; Kuyl et al., 2002; Lapparent de Broin, 2000a, 2001; Meylan and Sterrer, 2000; Parham et al., unpublished data; Takahashi et al., 2003). The phylogeny of this '*Geochelone* complex' will be treated elsewhere; however, we do address the phylogenetic position of one sub-Saharan species, *Malacochersus tornieri*. Crumly (1984) hypothesized that *M. tornieri* is closely related to *Testudo* and allies based on shared derived characters of head scalation and tracheal morphology. In other aspects, *M. tornieri* is highly and uniquely derived, reflecting its ecology as a crevice specialist. For example, unlike other tortoises, it has an extremely flat and flexible shell (hence the common name 'pancake tortoise'). We test Crumly's hypothesis about the possible affinity of the enigmatic *M. tornieri* to the greater *Testudo* group with molecular data.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Specimen information

Our sampling includes 11 museum specimens (Appendix A). Four of these represent the three *Testudo* species, *T. graeca*, *T. marginata*, and *T. kleinmanni*. Of these samples, our *T. graeca* and *T. marginata* samples have known localities. The two specimens of *Testudo graeca* are from different parts of its range, North Africa, and Asia. Kuyl et al. (2002) identified distinct genetic groups from these regions. We include two pet trade samples of *Indotestudo* that were donated to the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology without locality data. *Indotestudo* species are very similar and there was some debate as to whether there are two or three species (Iverson et al., 2001). Consequently, the identification of pet trade specimens must be done carefully. Based on their morphology, one of us (JFP) identified them as *Indotestudo elongata* (Blyth, 1853) and *I. forstenii* (Schlegel and Müller, 1844), and confirmed this by comparing their sequences to *cob* sequences of other *Indotestudo* from GenBank (Iverson et al., 2001; AY434561, AY434643). In an alignment of 1115 positions, our *I. elongata* and *I. forstenii* were just 0.4 and 1.1% different, respectively, from those identified as the same species reported by Iverson et al. (2001). The high degree of sequence similarity between our specimens and other individuals identified by different authors gives us some confidence in the identification of our specimens as well as those used in Iverson et al. (2001). However, we recognize that this assignment is tentative because Iverson et al. (2001) lacked vouchered specimens. We also include one sample of *A. horsfieldii* from the Kopet-Dagh region of Turkmenistan and one sample of '*T.*' *hermanni* from the European part of Turkey (Thrace). Our specimen of *Malacochersus tornieri* was donated to the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology as a frozen specimen without any locality data, but we are confident about its identification because *M. tornieri* is so specialized that it cannot be easily mistaken for any other animal (Fig. 1A). For outgroups we included one sample of the 'Geochelone complex,' *Geochelone pardalis* (Bell, 1828) from Somaliland (formerly part of Somalia), and a pet trade specimen of the basal tortoise *Manouria emys* (Schlegel and Müller, 1844). As with the *M. tornieri*, we do not doubt the identification of the *Manouria emys* specimen. All specimens are preserved at either the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, or the California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, CA (Appendix A). All specimens are preserved in formalin, but only after tissues were frozen. For outgroups we used the complete mitochondrial genomes of two testudinoid turtles from GenBank [*Chrysemys picta* (Gray, 1844), NC002073; and *Mauremys reevesii* (Gray, 1831), NC006082].

2.2. Laboratory protocols

Genomic DNA was extracted from liver or muscle using the Qiagen QIAamp tissue kit. Amplification of genomic DNA was conducted using rTth long PCR enzyme (Applied Biosystems) with a denaturation at 94 °C for 15 s, annealing at 46–50 °C for 20 s, and extension at 68 °C for 60 s for a total of 38 cycles, followed by an additional extension at 72 °C for 12 min. Negative controls were run on all amplifications to check for contamination. Initial amplifications were conducted using primers described in Macey et al. (1997). Perfectly matching primers were then constructed for each taxon based on the DNA sequence of this fragment to complete the amplification of each mtDNA.

Amplification products were sheared randomly into fragments of approximately 1.5 kb by repeated passage through a narrow aperture using a Hydroshear device. After end-repair, the sheared DNA was gel purified and ligated into pUC18 vector, and then transformed into bacterial cells to construct a library of random fragments. Automated colony pickers introduced single clones into bacterial broth in 384-well format. These plasmid clones were processed robotically through rolling circle amplification (Dean et al., 2001; Hawkins et al., 2002), sequencing reactions, and reaction cleanup using SPRI (Elkin et al., 2002). Sequences were determined using ABI3730xl DNA sequencers and then assembled based on overlap to form deep contigs.

2.3. Phylogenetic analyses

DNA sequences were aligned manually. Protein-coding genes were constrained to align by codon and tRNA-coding genes were constrained to align by regions of potential secondary structure (Kumazawa and Nishida, 1993; Macey and Verma, 1997). We excluded highly variable regions that were ambiguously aligned that encompass all of the control region, 165 positions from other non-coding regions, 140 positions of *rrnS*, and 342 positions of *rrnL*. A total of 182 positions were excluded from the alignment of tRNA genes: the D-loop is excluded from *trnH* and *trnS*; the T-loop is excluded from *trnE*; and both the D- and T-loops are excluded from the tRNA genes for F, V, L1, I, W, K, R, T, and P. We excluded a total of 282 positions from the protein-coding genes *atp8* (21), *nad5* (168), *nad6* (87), and *cob* (6). In the case of *M. tornieri*, we used the *trnF* that most closely resembled that of other tortoises and was in the standard vertebrate position adjacent to *rrnS* (*trnF2*). The final alignment contains 14,858 positions and provides 3238 parsimony informative characters.

We used maximum parsimony (MP; Farris, 1983), maximum likelihood (ML; Felsenstein, 1981), and Bayesian inference (BI; Larget and Simon, 1999) phylogenetic methods to infer phylogenetic trees. We

conducted both MP and ML phylogenetic analyses in PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002), and BI analyses with MrBayes 3.0b4 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001). We executed MP analyses with the branch and bound search option, which guarantees an exact solution. To assess nodal support, we used the bootstrap resampling method (Felsenstein, 1985) employing 1000 pseudoreplicates of heuristic searches using TBR branch swapping and 100 random sequence additions pre replication in PAUP*. We obtained decay indices (= "branch support" of Bremer, 1994) for all nodes. As an additional test of clade significance we used the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (Templeton, 1983) as outlined by Schulte et al. (1998), Macey et al. (1999), and Lee (2000).

To determine the most appropriate model of DNA substitution for reconstructing tortoise relationships under ML, we evaluated the fit of various models of molecular evolution to our data via the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC; Akaike, 1974) with the program Modeltest 3.06 (Posada and Crandall, 1998). The AIC has recently been shown to be a superior method of model selection than the hierarchical likelihood ratio test (Posada and Buckley, 2004). We performed ML analyses under the optimal model (Appendix B) with the heuristic search algorithm using TBR branch swapping with 10 random sequence additions, simultaneously estimating parameter values (with $10\,\Gamma$ rate categories) and tree topology (i.e., no initial parameter estimates or starting tree). We then successively re-estimated parameter values and searched for trees until we obtained a stable topology and ML score (Wilgenbusch and de Queiroz, 2000). We assessed nodal support with 10 bootstrap pseudoreplicates using TBR branch swapping and 10 random sequence additions.

We also performed ML-based BI analyses to search for additional tree topologies. Because MrBayes can perform singular phylogenetic analyses using different models of evolution we assessed the best fit model of evolution for each mtDNA locus via the AIC with the program MrModeltest 2.1 (Nylander, 2004). However, to avoid over-parameterization, we combined mitochondrial loci into the same data partition if they belonged the same functional type (either rRNA, tRNA, or coding DNA) and conformed to the same model of evolution (Appendix B). We then performed mixed-model BI tree searches, allowing separate parameter estimates under the chosen models of DNA substitution for each data partition. We did not specify nucleotide substitution model parameters or a topology a priori. We ran BI analyses for 3×10^6 generations using the default temperature (0.2) with four Markov chains per generation, sampling trees every 100 generations. To determine when Markov chains had converged on stable likelihood values, we plotted the $-\ln L$ scores against the number of generations (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001). We then computed a 50% majority rule consensus tree after excluding those trees sampled prior to the stable equilibrium (after the first 1×10^5 generations). Nodal support is given by the frequency of the recovered clade, which corresponds to the posterior probability of that clade under the assumed models of sequence evolution (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001; Rannala and Yang, 1996).

2.4. Polytomy tests

We tested whether the low statistical support for some of our nodes was the result of a "hard polytomy" (Maddison, 1989) resulting from a simultaneous set of branching events vs. a "soft polytomy" which simply indicates an inability to resolve the true pattern of bifurcating branches. We analyzed the sequence data with the bootstrap version of the polytomy test developed by Jackman et al. (1999) and later implemented by McGuire and Heang (2001). This test is based on the bootstrap values of every possible four taxon data set comprised of one outgroup (here *Geochelone pardalis*) and three of the taxa involved in the clade that garnered low statistical support (i.e., excluding well-supported nodes such as those between *Testudo* and *Indotestudo* samples). The principle assumption of this test is that if the recovered polytomy truly represents approximately simultaneous branching events, then restricted data sets should not recover higher than random statistical support because the removal of taxa would not create significantly longer branches. To generate the critical bootstrap value for our data set, we randomized the characters within taxa 100 times in MacClade (Maddison and Maddison, 2001), generated bootstrap values for each node ($n = 300$), and then determined which value represented the 95th percentile.

3. Results

3.1. Structural features of tortoise mitochondrial genomes

The 10 complete mtDNA sequences range in size from 16,455 to 19,438 nucleotides. The bulk of this length variation occurs in the control regions which range from 948 to 3885 nucleotides, with the larger control regions caused predominantly by repeated sequences. We note two very unusual genomic features. First, all of these tortoises share a nucleotide insertion at an identical position in *nad3* that would be predicted to cause a shift in the reading frame, exactly as has been reported previously for the turtle *Chrysemys picta* and some birds (Mindell et al., 1998). The phenomenon of translational frameshifting, whereby the ribosome accommodates by passing over the additional out-of-frame nucleotide in the mRNA has been studied in some systems (Farabaugh and Vimaladithan, 1998; Hansen et al., 2003; Pande et al., 1995), and perhaps this is the

mechanism here, although we cannot rule out the alternative of RNA editing to remove this nucleotide from the transcript. This frameshift does not appear in the mtDNAs of alligators and squamate reptiles, so one must infer that the insertion occurred in the same position twice, in the lineage leading to turtles and that leading to birds or, alternatively, that this is ancestral to the larger group and has been repeatedly lost in various lineages. In addition, one tortoise (*M. tornieri*) has an additional frameshift in *nad4*; the reading frame is interrupted by one out-of-frame nucleotide, the sequence determined is unambiguous and from several independent clones as well as two separate amplifications, and the conceptual translation in the two reading frames before and after this nucleotide insertion point is well conserved.

Malacochersus tornieri also is unusual in having a tandem duplication of the control region and *trnF* (Figs. 2A and B). This is the first gene duplication reported for any turtle mtDNA. The two control regions are divergent and only the 1683 bp one adjacent to *trnP* appears

functional (corresponds to other turtle control regions in GenBank). The other 'control region' (2017 bp) does not correspond well to any sequence on GenBank. However, most unusually, it appears that each of the duplicated tRNAs is being maintained by selection, since all of the 16 nucleotide differences between the two have occurred without disrupting potential secondary structure (Fig. 2C) or altering the anticodon. There are 34 paired, three anticodon, and 32 unpaired nucleotides, so to assume the alternative, that one is a pseudogene and that the nucleotide substitutions have occurred randomly, requires accepting that there were 16 random substitutions hitting 32 particular positions without hitting any of the other 37 that are presumed to be essential. The odds of this are approximately one in 10 million. It is generally thought that one of the descendents of a gene duplication will become a pseudogene and decay away by mutational processes unless (1) additional gene dosage is provided and selected for, (2) one of the descendents adopts a new function, or (3) "subfunctionalization" occurs, whereby the two copies divide the


Fig. 2. (A) Typical vertebrate gene arrangement from *cob* to *trnV*. (B) Same region for shown for *Malacochersus tornieri* showing the duplicated *trnF* and control region. Only the first control region appears functional. (C) Duplicated *trnF* sequences for *Malacochersus tornieri* folded into typical cloverleaf secondary structures. All sites that differ between the two are underlined and in boldface. The naming convention for five portions of the secondary structure are indicated.

original function in such a way that the organism depends on having both copies (Lynch and Force, 2000). To test the commonality of this phenomenon, we queried GenBank for all mitochondrial genomes that have the same gene annotation appearing more than once, then examined these individually in detail. Many were simple annotation errors, but some correctly show gene duplications. Six species (Campbell and Barker, 1999; Kumazawa and Endo, 2004; Lavrov et al., 2004; Townsend and Larson, 2002; Yokobori et al., 2004; see also GenBank record AY636151) have copies that are identical or nearly so for sequence, so are presumably of very recent origin and are of uncertain fate. Another 10 (Beagley et al., 1999; Dowton et al., 2003; Eberhard et al., 2001; Hrbek and Larson, 1999; Kumazawa et al., 1998; Macey et al., 2000; Mueller et al., 2004; see also GenBank record AJ421396) have only one copy that appears to be functional, with others being evident pseudogenes. Some urochordates have a second copy of *trnG* (Gissi et al., 2004; Yokobori et al., 1999, 2003), but in this case there is no evidence that they are the result of a *trnG* duplication, but rather this is to mediate a change in the genetic code. The only cases we could identify like the one for this turtle, where both copies appear to be maintained by selection, are for *trnV* in the Manila clam, *Venerupis (Ruditapes) philippinarum* (Adams and Reeve, 1850) (NC_003354), although this remains undescribed by any publication, and *trnM* in several independent lineages (Boore et al., 2004; Gissi et al., 2004; Le et al., 2000; Passamonti et al., 2003; Yokobori et al., 2003; see also GenBank records NC_005055 and NC_003354), for which one might presume that subfunctionalization into separate roles as initiator of protein translation (with formyl-methionine) vs. elongator for internal peptide positions (with methionine) causes both to be necessary. (The second *trnM* was not recognized by the authors for the platyhelminths, but is clearly present in the sequence. Also, the authors of submission NC_005055 for the rice frog, *Fejervarya limnocharis* (Gravenhorst, 1829), label the second *trnM* as a pseudogene, although we judge otherwise.) It is not obvious how duplicated copies of *trnF* would subfunctionalize or adopt a novel role, or why any increased gene dosage would be beneficial, since phenylalanine is not a commonly coded amino acid in these mitochondrial genes. It is interesting that the pancake tortoise, *M. tornieri* not only has these two unusual genomic features (a duplicated gene and control region plus an additional translational frameshift), but is also the most unusual morphologically and has an unusually rapid rate of sequence evolution (see below).

3.2. Phylogenetic relationships

Parsimony analyses resulted in two most parsimonious trees of 11,297 steps (CI = 0.726, RI = 0.859). The two parsimony trees conflict regarding the relative placement of 'T.' hermanni and *A. horsfieldii*. In one tree these taxa are sister (Fig. 3), but in the second tree (not shown) they are paraphyletic. The ML analysis ($-\ln L = 67939.28$) and BI mixed-model analysis with 16 data partitions ($-\ln L = 67700.28$) (Appendix B) recover 'T.' hermanni and *A. horsfieldii* as sister taxa. In most other respects the MP, ML, and BI trees are identical. The only exception is the placement of *M. tornieri*. In the MP and BI analyses, *M. tornieri* is placed as the sister taxon to the *Indotestudo* species with low statistical support; in the ML analysis, *M. tornieri* is placed as sister taxon the rest of the ingroup (*Testudo, Indotestudo,* 'T.' hermanni, and *Agrionemys*). To compare the MP and BI placement of *M. tornieri* to the ML topology, we performed an SH test (Shimodaira and Hasegawa, 1999) against an ML search in which the placement of *M. tonieri* was constrained as the sister taxon to *Indotestudo* (as in the MP and BI) analysis. The constrained ML search under the GTR + Γ + I model produced a single tree ($-\ln L = 67940.19$) with a log-likelihood value that is not significantly different than the unconstrained ML topology using a one-tailed multiple-comparisons LRT (Shimodaira and Hasegawa, 1999) with 100 RELL bootstrap pseudoreplicates ($\delta = 1.8324$, p = 0.4). Therefore, although *M. tornieri* is clearly a member of the clade that includes *Testudo* and its close allies, the weak support for the placement of *M. tornieri* from MP, ML, and BI analyses as well as the Wilcoxon rank-sum and SH tests shows that the placement of this taxon within the ingroup is not yet resolved (see Sections 3.3 and 3.4 below).

In all analyses, our samples of *Indotestudo* group together with high statistical support. A clade that includes *M. tornieri, Indotestudo,* 'T.' hermanni, and *A. horsfieldii* is weakly supported by MP and ML, but strongly supported by BI. That clade is sister to an unambiguously well-supported clade including all *Testudo* species. Within *Testudo*, two well-supported clades are recognized: (1) *T. marginata* + *T. kleinmanni*; (2) African and Asian *T. graeca*. The monophyly of the *Testudo* + *Indotestudo* + *M. tornieri* + *A. horsfieldii* + 'T.' hermanni relative to the lineages sampled here is strong with *G. pardalis* more closely related to Palearctic tortoises than to *Manouria emys* as predicted by all morphological studies (Crumly, 1984; Meylan and Sterrer, 2000; Takahashi et al., 2003). Finally, the monophyly of tortoises is well-supported by MP and BI, but weakly supported by the likelihood analysis.

3.3. Polytomy tests

The critical value for our polytomy test was 77%, significantly lower than that found by Jackman et al. (1999) and McGuire and Heang (2001). We attribute our lower critical value to the higher number of parsimony informative characters presented here or lack of a weighting

Fig. 3. Phylogram of one of the two most parsimonious trees recovered by our parsimony analysis of 14,858 nucleotide positions. Parsimony bootstrap/ML bootstrap/BI posterior probabilities are indicated above the stems and decay indices/*p* values from the Wilcoxon rank-sum test are indicated below the stems. Branch lengths are based on a delayed transformation character-state optimization.

scheme. Twenty-three of the 37 relevant four taxon statements yielded bootstrap values greater than the critical value, with 16 of these yielding bootstraps even higher than 95% (the 99.66th percentile). Given these results, we can confidently reject the null hypothesis of a hard polytomy for *A. horsfieldii* + *Indotestudo* + *M. tornieri* + *Testudo* + '*T.*' *hermanni* relationships. Thus, the hard polytomy test suggests that uncertainty surrounding relationships within this clade is not simply due to a rapid radiation yielding short internodes characterized by few synapomorphies, but may instead be an artifact of several taxa dividing a potentially large branch. A commonly invoked solution for such a soft polytomy is that additional data should be able to reconstruct the sequence of branching events (Maddison, 1989). Since our alignment is based on complete or

nearly complete mitochondrial genomes of all the major lineages within this clade, additional data for testing the poorly supported nodes should be derived from other markers (e.g., nuclear DNA). However, we explore another explanation for the polytomy presented here: significantly different rates of mitochondrial evolution (see Section 3.4 below).

3.4. Relative-rate tests

The pairwise divergences between *M. tornieri* and other taxa of the *A. horsfieldii* + *Indotestudo* + *M. tornieri* + *Testudo* + '*T.*' *hermanni* clade (10.8–12.0%) exceed that found between any other species of this lineage (maximum of 10.5%). Although statistical support for the specific arrangement of *M. tornieri* within this

Table 1
Differences in mitochondrial rates of evolution between *Indotestudo*, *M. tornieri*, *A. horsfieldii*, '*T.*' *hermanni*, and *Testudo*

	M. tornieri	*Indotestudo*	*A. horsfieldii*	'*T.*' *hermanni*	*Testudo*
M. tornieri	—	0.0152648	0.0182234	0.0186314	0.0123246
Indotestudo	**0.0000032**	—	0.00298959	0.00387534	0.00291095
A. horsfieldii	**0.00000028**	0.299173	—	0.000984528	0.00585755
'*T.*' *hermanni*	**0.00000046**	0.201287	0.752297	—	0.00520181
Testudo	**0.00011321**	0.271554	0.038021	0.070649	—

We assessed differences in substitution rates via relative-rate tests with K2P distances using *G. pardalis* as the sister group to make rate comparisons to paired taxa. Differences in substitution rates (dK) given above diagonal, with associated p value below diagonal. In each case, *M. tornieri* displays a more rapid rate of sequence evolution compared to other members of its clade. The bold text highlights the highly significant.

group is weak, the monophyly of the *A. horsfieldii* + *Indotestudo* + *M. tornieri* + *Testudo* + '*T.*' *hermanni* clade is not in question, implying a relatively higher rate of mtDNA evolution in *M. tornieri*. Because significantly disparate evolutionary rates between taxa can adversely affect phylogenetic reconstruction (Felsenstein, 1978; Kolaczkowski and Thornton, 2004; Swofford et al., 1996), we examined differences in evolutionary rates within the *A. horsfieldii* + *Indotestudo* + *M. tornieri* + *Testudo* + '*T.*' *hermanni* clade. We compared differences in substitution rates between members of this clade using relative-rate tests (Sarich and Wilson, 1973; Wu and Li, 1985). In RRTree 1.1.9 (Robinson-Rechavi and Huch, 2000), we compared rates in a pairwise fashion between each member of the *A. horsfieldii* + *Indotestudo* + *M. tornieri* + *Testudo* + '*T.*' *hermanni* clade using K2P distances (Kimura, 1980) and treating sequences as non-coding to include tRNA data. In all comparisons we used *G. pardalis* as the sister group to make rate comparisons to a third group. The differences in substitution rates between *M. tornieri* and each main lineage of the *A. horsfieldii* + *Indotestudo* + *M. tornieri* + *Testudo* + '*T.*' *hermanni* clade are significant (Table 1). Specifically, *M. tornieri* displays a more rapid rate of sequence evolution compared to other members of its clade. All other pairwise rate comparisons were not significant, except for rate variation between *A. horsfieldii* and *Testudo*, which does not scale with the rate divergence seen between *M. tornieri* and other taxa (Table 1).

The elevated rate of mitochondrial evolution of *M. tornieri* coincides with other unusual genomic features (the translational frameshift in *nad4* and tandem duplication of *trnF*), suggest either a relaxation of selection on the mt genome, a less accurate replication or repair mechanism, or an environment conducive to mutagenesis. As mentioned previously, *M. tornieri* is also special among tortoises in its bizarre morphology associated with its ecology as a rock crevice specialist. Omland (1997) postulated that molecular and morphological evolutionary rates are often correlated, a phenomenon that appears consistent with our observations of *M. tornieri*. However, the underlying mechanisms responsible for associated rates of molecular and morphological evolution remain unclear, and such correla-

tions are still debated (e.g., Bromham et al., 2002; Marko and Moran, 2002).

When we did additional analyses excluding *M. tornieri*, the resulting topology shows increased support for a clade that includes *A. horsfieldii*, '*T.*' *hermanni*, and *Indotestudo* (99 MP bootstrap from 58; 30 decay index from 4; 90 ML bootstrap from 60; BI posterior probabilities stay at 100). These results support the hypothesis that the inclusion of the more rapidly evolving *M. tornieri* sequence is partially responsible for the basal ingroup polytomy.

4. Discussion

4.1. The monophyly, diagnosis, and definition of clades

4.1.1. Phylogenetic taxonomy protocols

Some of the groups recognized by morphological workers (Crumly, 1984; Gmira, 1993, 1995) are strongly supported by our molecular data (e.g., *Testudo* species, *Indotestudo* species, and *T. kleinmanni* + *T. marginata*; Fig. 3). Because we are confident in the monophyly of these clades and feel that other biologists will want to discuss them in a phylogenetic context, we feel justified in proposing phylogenetically defined names for them. Note that in our study, the origin and conversion of clade names should be attributed to the first author (Parham) and not all seven contributing authors. We adopt the recommendation of PhyloCode (2003) that all clade names should be distinguished from most ranked taxon names governed by the ICZN (1999) through the use of italics. All phylogenetic definitions are given in Section 4.1.3.

4.1.2. The monophyly and diagnosis of some clades

Crumly (1984) was the first to hypothesize a monophyletic clade that included members of the *Testudo* group and *Malacochersus*, exclusive of members of the '*Geochelone* complex.' His hypothesis was based on the observation that the *Testudo* group and *Malacochersus* share a unique pattern of head scales and a shortened trachea (although this latter character is homoplastic within *Testudinidae*). The head scale character was first

noted by Loveridge and Williams (1957), but was considered to have evolved independently in *M. tornieri*. We are confident in the exclusive monophyly of *Malacochersus* and the greater *Testudo* group within tortoises. Because the *Testudo* group and *Malacochersus* is a well-supported, diagnosable clade, we phylogenetically define it as *Testudona* (tax. nov.).

Our data support the hypothesis that the genus *Indotestudo* [represented by species *elongata* (type species), *forstenii*, and *travancorica*] is a reciprocally monophyletic group. Although we lack any samples of *I. travancorica*, other studies (Iverson et al., 2001; Spinks et al., 2004) show that the three species of the *Indotestudo* form a closely related, monophyletic group, so the hypothesized position for *I. elongata* and *I. forstenii* can confidently be extended to *I. travancorica*. To stabilize the use of these names we convert the ICZN genus *Indotestudo* into a phylogenetically defined name.

The three species of *Testudo* form a reciprocally monophyletic clade relative to taxa considered separate genera (e.g., *Agrionemys*, *Indotestudo*). *Testudo graeca* (type species of *Testudo*), *T. marginata*, and *T. kleinmanni* are also diagnosed by a conspicuous morphological trait, a kinetic hinge in the plastron. To stabilize the name *Testudo*, we convert the ICZN genus name *Testudo* into a phylogenetically defined clade name.

Within *Testudo*, our study and Kuyl et al. (2002) found strong support for a clade that includes *T. marginata* and *T. kleinmanni* exclusive of other *Testudo*. Gmira (1993, 1995) found the same result working with morphological data and proposed that both species be placed in a separate subgenus, *Chersus* Wagler, 1830 (type species *T. marginata*). The use of the name *Chersus* was supported by Kuyl et al. (2002) and is a logical choice for an unranked clade name for the node that unites *marginata* and *kleinmanni*. Living *Chersus* can be diagnosed from other living *Testudo* by the presence of black triangles on the plastron (Fig. 1B) as well as other, more subtle, scalation characters (Gmira, 1993, 1995).

4.1.3. Phylogenetic definitions of tortoise clades

We provide phylogenetic definitions for four tortoise clades that are supported by molecular and morphological data. A rank-free hierarchical representation of the taxonomy proposed here is shown in Table 2. All group names are node-based crown groups. For each of these crown names, the prefix 'pan' can be used to refer to non-overlapping stem groups (e.g., '*Pantestudo*') following Gauthier and de Queiroz (2001) and Joyce et al. (2004).

Testudona is defined as the crown clade arising from *Malacochersus* [orig. *Testudo*] *tornieri* (Siebenrock, 1903), *Testudo graeca* Linnaeus, 1758 *Agrionemys* [orig. *Testudo*] *horsfieldii* (Gray, 1844), and *Indotestudo* [orig. *Testudo*] *elongata* (Blyth, 1853).

Table 2
A hierarchical taxonomy of tortoises with an emphasis on Mediterranean species and their close relatives (*Testudona*)

Testudinidae Gray, 1825 (*sensu* Joyce et al., 2004)
Gopherus Rafinesque, 1832 (ICN)
Manouria Gray, 1852 (ICN)
'*Geochelone* complex' (ICN)
Testudona Parham (NCN)
'*Testudo*' *hermanni* (Gmelin, 1789)
Agrionemys [orig. *Testudo*] *horsfieldii* (Gray, 1844)
Malacochersus [orig. *Testudo*] *tornieri* (Siebenrock, 1903)
Indotestudo Lindholm, 1929 (CCN)
Indotestudo [orig. *Testudo*] *elongata* (Blyth, 1853)
Indotestudo [orig. *Testudo*] *forstenii* (Schlegel and Müller, 1844)
Indotestudo [orig. *Testudo*] *travancorica* (Boulenger, 1907)
Testudo Linnaeus, 1758 (CCN)
Testudo graeca Linnaeus, 1758
Chersus Wagler, 1830 (CCN)
Testudo kleinmanni Lortet, 1883
Testudo marginata Schoepff, 1792

All phylogenetic names and ICZN generic and specific names are italicized. The abbreviations CCN, ICN, and NCN are used to refer to 'converted,' 'informal,' and 'new' clade names, respectively.

Indotestudo Lindholm, 1929 is defined as the crown clade arising from the last common ancestor of *Indotestudo* [orig. *Testudo*] *elongata* (Blyth, 1853), *Indotestudo* [orig. *Testudo*] *forstenii* (Schlegel and Müller, 1844), and *Indotestudo* [orig. *Testudo*] *travancorica* (Boulenger, 1907).

Testudo Linnaeus, 1758 is defined as the crown clade arising from the last common ancestor of *Testudo graeca* Linnaeus, 1758; *Testudo marginata* Schoepff, 1792; and *Testudo kleinmanni* Lortet, 1883.

Chersus Wagler, 1830 is defined as the crown clade arising from the last recent common ancestor of *Testudo marginata* Schoepff, 1792; and *Testudo kleinmanni* Lortet, 1883.

4.1.4. The taxonomy of '*Testudo*' *hermanni*

Although '*Testudo*' *hermanni* is clearly not a member of the *Testudo* clade as defined above, we do not propose a new ICZN binomial for this species. Some authors have suggested that '*T.*' *hermanni* should be placed in the genus *Agrionemys*. A close relationship with the type species of *Agrionemys*, *A. horsfieldii*, is supported by a morphological analysis (Gmira, 1993, 1995). However, Lapparent de Broin (2000a, 2001) claims that this result is complicated by the addition of new fossil data. From a molecular perspective, although the ML and BI analyses recover a *hermanni* + *horsfieldii* clade, this relationship is only represented in one of the two shortest parsimony trees. Given the lack of strong evidence for the phylogenetic position of '*T.*' *hermanni*, we do not recommend its inclusion in *Agrionemys* and refrain from converting this ICZN genus into a phylogenetically defined clade. '*Testudo*' *hermanni* has never been used as a type species for an ICZN genus and so no older names are readily available. Meanwhile, Lapparent de Broin (pers. comm. to

JFP) is planning to propose a new ICZN genus for '*T.*' *hermanni*.

4.2. Paleobiogeography of Testudona

4.2.1. The paleobiogeography of the Chersus clade

The two species of the *Chersus* clade, *T. marginata* and *T. kleinmanni*, occur on either side of the Mediterranean (Fig. 1B). The natural distribution of *T. marginata* is restricted to mainland and archipelago Greece and extreme southern Albania, although it has been introduced by humans into other Mediterranean islands such as Sardinia. Populations of *T. kleinmanni* are scattered along the coasts of northern Africa from Tripolotania to the Sinai. This allopatric distribution of extant *Chersus* lineages can be explained by several competing hypotheses including: (1) the extinction of intermediate populations; (2) vicariance by the division of an ancestral *Chersus* clade by the filling of the Mediterranean basin by seawater from the Atlantic ocean at ~5.33 million years ago (Krijgsman et al., 1999); or (3) dispersal from one side of the Mediterranean to the other.

The "extinction hypothesis" is not supported by any data as there are no known fossils of *Chersus* outside its present range. The importance of "oceanic" dispersal to explain animal distributions has been underestimated (de Queiroz, 2005). Tortoises in particular are known to have traversed greater distances over salt water than the dispersal event proposed here (e.g., the Galapagos tortoises and others; see Meylan and Sterrer, 2000 for a review). Although the European *Testudo* fossil record is ~10 million years old (Danilov, 2005; Lapparent de Broin, 2001), there are no fossils that confirm that stem *Chersus* turtles occurred on southern side of the Mediterranean basin prior to 5.33 million years ago. The oldest fossils of confidently identified *testudonans* from North Africa are less than three million years old (Lapparent de Broin, 2000b: 54–55). The 'vicariance hypothesis' would also be supported by the discovery of *Chersus* fossils in North Africa that predate the formation of the modern Mediterranean sea (e.g., >5.33 million years old).

4.2.2. The age and paleobiogeography of the testudonan diversification

In the absence of a *testudonan*-specific clock (see Section 4.2.3 below), we used previously calibrated rates from other vertebrates (not based on fossils, see Weisrock et al., 2001) to the divergences of the basal *testudonan* polytomy yields age estimates ranging from 6.0 to 9.4 million years old (myo) [pairwise distances = 8.24–10.73% for the same region calibrated by Weisrock et al. (2001), not including *M. tornieri*]. These age estimates are in the late Miocene. Although fossil tortoises over 50 million years old are known from Europe and Asia, the fossil record of *testudonan*-like turtles begins ~10 myo (reviewed in Danilov, 2005; Lapparent de Broin, 2000b,

2001) also in the late Miocene. Therefore, both the fossil and tentative molecular age estimates suggest that *Testudona* probably originated as recently as the late Miocene (~5–15 myo).

The Miocene origin of *Testudona* is considerably younger than the oldest tortoise from Africa, *Gigantochersina ammon* (Andrews, 1903), confidently dated at 35.4–35.6 myo (late Eocene; Holroyd and Parham, 2003). Although its phylogenetic position is uncertain, *G. ammon* might represent part of the lineage that gave rise to the "*Geochelone* complex," a radiation that was already diverse in Africa by ~20 myo (the early Miocene; Lapparent de Broin, 2000b, 2003) and is still represented by over 25 extant species. Given the antiquity of African tortoise fossils, testudonans must have invaded Africa after one or more tortoise lineages were already established on the continent.

Today, *testudonan* tortoises have a wide geographic distribution that includes the Palearctic (*Testudo*, *A. horsfieldii*, and '*T.*' *hermanni*), Oriental (*Indotestudo*), and Ethiopian (*M. tornieri*) biogeographic realms (Fig. 1A). The dispersal of the *Indotestudo* and *M. tornieri* lineages from a Palearctic center of diversification was made possible by the ongoing collision of Arabia into Anatolia and Iran that established land bridges that connected Europe, Africa, and southern Asia in the late Miocene (Rögl, 1999). Although the fossil record of Palearctic tortoises is very rich (Auffenberg, 1974; Crumly, 1983; Danilov, 2005; Lapparent de Broin, 2000a,b, 2001; Ye, 1994), these specimens have never been analyzed in an explicit phylogenetic framework or in light of the major tectonic and environmental changes occurring throughout the Miocene (Agusti et al., 1999). A more detailed study of Miocene *testudonan* fossils integrated into the molecular phylogenetic context presented here will yield additional clues to the timing and patterns of intercontinental dispersal events as well as the initial division of lineages.

4.2.3. Problems with the application of fossil data to molecular clocks

We report a single molecular age estimate in section 4.2.2 (above), and only in a very conservative fashion (i.e., based on the entire range from across disparate vertebrate taxa). By doing so we only hope to achieve a rough approximation of the antiquity of the ingroup (e.g., within 5 or 10 million years), but concede that there are major obstacles preventing us from exploring a more precise *testudonan* molecular clock. Namely, there are the caveats raised by Graur and Martin (2004). First, a detailed study of *testudonan* molecular clocks would require a more detailed understanding of the dating of *testudonan*-bearing strata than is presently available. This is primarily because uncertainties associated with the dating of fossils need to be included in all calibrated age estimates. This simple point is often ignored my molecular clock studies that use fossil calibrations. Second, as

far as we know, all molecular clock studies that rely on fossils calibrations have not reported if their fossils specimens can be placed in a phylogenetic context with any degree of confidence. Consequently, the appearance of statistical rigor presumed by these studies is misleading. In our case, the *testudonan* fossils mentioned here have never been studied in a phylogenetic context. So while we can be reasonably sure the fossils mentioned here are at least *pantestudonans*, we cannot confidently attribute them to, or exclude them from, extant lineages. In any case, we do not have the multiple-calibration points necessary to generate reliable estimates.

Acknowledgments

Appendix A

Voucher and GenBank information for the sequences used in our study. Permit information (including CITEs) for imported specimens is on file at the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology and California Academy of Sciences. (1) *Chrysemys picta* GenBank NC002073; (2) *Mauremys reevesii* GenBank NC006082; (3) *Manouria emys* MVZ 238129, DQ080040. Pet trade, no locality data; (4) *Geochelone pardalis* MVZ 241333, DQ080041. Awdal Region, Somaliland; (5) '*Testudo*' *hermanni* MVZ 244866, DQ080046. Thrace, Turkey; (6) *Agrionemys horsfieldi* CAS 184468, DQ080045. Kopet-Dagh, Turkmenistan; (7) *Malacochersus tornieri* MVZ 234632, DQ080042. Pet trade, no locality data; (8) *Indotestudo elongata* MVZ 234627, DQ080043. Pet trade, no locality data; (9) *Indotestudo forstenii* MVZ 234627, DQ080044. Pet trade, no locality data; (10) *Testudo marginata* MVZ 247484, DQ080047. Athens, Greece; (11) *Testudo kleinmanni* MVZ 230361, DQ080048. Pet trade, no locality data; (12) *Testudo graeca* MVZ 235707, DQ080049. Nabul Governerate, Tunisia; and (13) *Testudo graeca* CAS 218245, DQ080050. Gaziantep, Turkey.

Appendix B

Best fit models of evolution for each mtDNA locus selected by AIC in MrModeltest. For the BI analysis, we combined loci into the same data partition if they belonged the same functional group (rRNA, tRNA, or coding DNA) and conformed to the same model of evolution, resulting in 16 data partitions. For the ML analysis, we used the model of evolution chosen by AIC in Modeltest for the entire mitochondrial genome $(GTR + I + \Gamma)$. Partition 1: $rrnL$, $rrnS = GTR + I + \Gamma$; Partition 2: $cox1$, $cox3$, $nad1$, $nad3$, $nad4$, $nad4L$, $nad5$, $nad6 = GTR + I + \Gamma$; Partition 3: $nad2$, $atp6 = HKY + I + \Gamma$; Partition 4: $cob = GTR + \Gamma$; Partition 5: $cox2 = GTR + I$; Partition 6: $cox2 = HKY + \Gamma$; Partition 7: $trnF = GTR + I + \Gamma$; Partition 8: $trnR = GTR + \Gamma$; Partition 9: $trnQ = GTR + I$; Partition 10: $trnW = SYM + I + \Gamma$; Partition 11: $trnK = SYM + \Gamma$; Partition 12: $trnN = SYM + I$; Partition 13: $trnA$, $trnC$, $trnD$, $trnG$, $trnL2$, $trnE$, $trnP = HKY + \Gamma$; Partition 14: $trnV$, $trnM$, $trnY$, $trnT = HKY + I$; Partition 15: $trnH$, $trnS1 = HKY$; Partition 16: $trnI$, $trnL1$, $trnS2 = K80 + \Gamma$.

References

Adams, A., Reeve, L., 1850. Mollusca. In: Adams, A. (Ed.), The Zoology of the Voyage of H.M.S. Samarang under the command of Captain Sir Edward Belcher during the years 1843–1846. Part 3. Reeve, Benham and Reeve, London.

Agusti, J., Rook, L., Andrews, P. (Eds.), 1999. The Evolution of Neogene Terrestrial Ecosystems in Europe. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Akaike, H., 1974. A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE Trans. Auto. Cont. 19, 716–723.

Andrews, C.W., 1903. *Testudo ammon* sp. nov. In: Andrews, C.W., Beadnell, H.J.L. (Eds.), A Preliminary Notice of a Land Tortoise from the Upper Eocene of the Fayûm, Egypt (With a Note on the Method Employed in Excavation, Strengthening, and Transport). National Printing Dept., Cairo.

Auffenberg, W., 1974. Checklist of fossil terrestrial tortoises (Testudinidae). Bull. Florida St. Mus. Biol. Sci. 18, 121–251.

Beagley, C.T., Okimoto, R., Wolstenholme, D.R., 1999. Mytilus mitochondrial DNA contains a functional gene for a tRNA-ser(UCN) with a dihydrouridine arm-replacement loop and a pseudo = tRNA-ser(UCN) gene. Genetics 152, 641–652.

Beard, K.C., 1998. East of Eden: Asia as an important center of taxonomic origination in mammalian evolution. Bull. Carnegie Mus. Nat. Hist. 34, 5–39.

Bell, T., 1828. Description of three new species of land tortoise. Zool. J. London. 3, 419–421.

Blyth, E., 1853. Notices and descriptions of various reptiles, new or little known. J. Asiat. Soc. Bengal Calcutta 22, 639–655.

Boore, J.L., Medina, M., Rosenberg, L.A., 2004. Complete sequences of two highly rearranged molluscan mitochondrial genomes, those of the scaphopod *Graptacme eborea* and of the bivalve *Mytilus edulis*. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21 (8), 1492–1503.

Boulenger, G.A., 1907. A new tortoise from Travancore. J. Bombay. Nat. Hist. Soc. 17, 560–564.

Bour, R., 1980. Essai sur la taxinomie des Testudindae actuels (Reptilia, Chelonii). Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Natur. Paris (4A), 541–546.

Bour, R., 2004. Répartition géographique des différents taxons actuellement reconnus dans le genre *Testudo* (s.l.). Manouria 22, back cover.

Bremer, K., 1994. Branch support and tree stability. Cladistics 10, 295–304.

Bromham, L., Woolfit, M., Lee, M.S.Y., Rambaut, A., 2002. Testing the relationship between morphological and molecular rates of change along phylogenies. Evolution 56, 1921–1930.

Brongniart, A., 1800. Essai d'une classification naturelle des reptiles, par le citoyen. Premiere Partie. Etablissement des ordres. Bull. des Sci. Soc. Philomat. Paris 2, 81–82.

Campbell, N.J., Barker, S.C., 1999. The novel mitochondrial gene arrangement of the cattle tick, *Boophilus microplus*: fivefold tandem repetition of a coding region. Mol. Biol. Evol. 16 (6), 732–740.

Crumly, C.R., 1983. An annotated checklist of the fossil tortoises of China and Mongolia. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 96, 567–580.

Crumly, C.R., 1984. A hypothesis for the relationships of land tortoises genera (Family Testudinidae). Studia Geológica Salmanticensia vol. especial 1. Studia Palaeocheloniologica I, 115–124.

Danilov, I.G., 2005. Die fossilen Schildkröten Europas. In: Fritz, U. (Ed.), Handbuch der Reptilien und Amphibien Europas. Schildkröten II (Cheloniidae, Dermochelyidae, Fossilen Schildkröten). Aula-Verlag, Wiebelsheim pp. 329–441.

de Queiroz, A., 2005. The resurrection of oceanic dispersal in historical biogeography. Trends Ecol. Evol. 20, 68–73.

Dean, F.B., Nelson, J.R., Giesler, T.L., Lasken, R.S., 2001. Rapid amplification of plasmid and phage DNA using Phi 29 DNA polymerase and multiply-primed rolling circle. Genome Res. 11, 1095–1099.

Dowton, M., Castro, L.R., Campbell, S.L., Bargon, S.D., Austin, A.D., 2003. Frequent mitochondrial gene rearrangements at the hymenopteran nad3-nad5 junction. J. Mol. Evol. 56 (5), 517–526.

Eberhard, J.R., Wright, T.F., Bermingham, E., 2001. Duplication and concerted evolution of the mitochondrial control region in the parrot genus Amazona. Mol. Biol. Evol. 18 (7), 1330–1342.

Elkin, C., Kapur, H., Smith, T., Humphries, D., Pollard, M., Hammon, N., Hawkins, T., 2002. Magnetic bead purification of labeled DNA fragments for high-throughput capillary electrophoresis sequencing. Biotechniques 32, 1296–1302.

Ernst, C.H., Barbour, R.W., 1989. Turtles of the World. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.

Farabaugh, P.J., Vimaladithan, A., 1998. Effect of frameshift-inducing mutants of elongation factor 1alpha on programmed +1 frameshifting in yeast. RNA 4 (1), 38–46.

Farris, J.S., 1983. The logical basis of phylogenetic analysis. In: Platnick, N., Funk, V.A. (Eds.), The Logical Basis of Phylogenetic Analysis. Columbia University Press, New York, pp. 7–36.

Felsenstein, J., 1978. Cases in which parsimony and compatibility methods will be positively misleading. Syst. Zool. 27, 401–410.

Felsenstein, J., 1981. Evolutionary trees from DNA sequences: a maximum likelihood approach. J. Mol. Evol. 17, 368–376.

Felsenstein, J., 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39, 783–791.

Fritz, U., Kirok, P., Kami, H., Wink, M., 2006. Environmentally caused dwarfism or a valid species—is *Testudo weissingeri* Bour, 1996 a distinct evolutionary lineage? New evidence from mitochondrial and nuclear genomic markers. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 38, 60–74.

Gauthier, J., de Queiroz, K., 2001. Feathered dinosaurs, flying dinosaurs, crown dinosaurs, and the name "Aves". In: Gauthier, J., Gall, L.F. (Eds.), New Perspectives on the Origin and Early Evolution of Birds: Proceedings of the International Symposium in Honor of John H. Ostrom. Peabody Museum of Natural History, New Haven, pp. 7–41.

Gissi, C., Iannelli, F., Pesole, G., 2004. Complete mtDNA of *Ciona intestinalis* reveals extensive gene rearrangement and the presence of an *atp8* and an extra *trnM* gene in ascidians. J. Mol. Evol. 58, 376–389.

Gmelin, J.F., 1789. *Testudo hermanni* sp. nov. In: Linnaeus, C. (Ed.), Systema Naturae, vol. 1, thirteenth ed., N. p., Lipsia, p. 1309.

Gmira, S., 1993. Une nouvelle espéce de tortue Testudininei (*Testudo kenitrensis* n. sp.)de l'inter Amirien-Tensiftien de Kénitra (Maroc). C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Sér. II 316, 701–707.

Gmira, S., 1995. Étude des Chéloniens Fossiles du Maroc. Cahier de Paléontologie, Paris.

Graur, D., Martin, W., 2004. Reading the entrails of chickens: molecular time-scales of evolution and the illusion of precision. Trends Genet. 20, 80–86.

Gravenhorst, J.L.C., 1829. Deliciae Musei Zoologici Vratislaviensis Fasciculus Primus continens Chelonios et Batrachia. Leopold Voss, Leipzig.

Gray, J.E., 1825. A synopsis of the genera of reptiles and amphibia, with a description of some new species. Ann. Philos. 10, 193–217.

Gray, J.E., 1831. Synopsis Reptilium, Pt. 1, Cataphracta. Tortoises, Crocodiles, Enaliosaurians. Treuttel, Wurtz, London.

Gray, J.E., 1844. Catalogue of the Tortoises, Crocodiles, and Amphisbaenians in the Collections of the British Museum. Br. Mus. Nat. Hist., London.

Gray, J.E., 1852. Description of a new genus and some new species of tortoises. Proc. Zool. London. 1852, 133–135.

Hansen, T.M., Baranov, P.V., Ivanov, I.P., Gesteland, R.F., Atkins, J.F., 2003. Maintenance of the correct open reading frame by the ribosome. EMBO Rep. 4 (5), 499–504.

Harris, D.J., Znari, M., Mace, J.-C., Carretero, M.A., 2003. Genetic variation in *Testudo graeca* from Morocco estimated using 12S rRNA sequencing. Rev. Esp. Herp. 17, 5–9.

Hawkins, T.L., Detter, J.C., Richardson, P.M., 2002. Whole genome amplification-applications and advances. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 13, 65–67.

Holroyd, P.A., Parham, J.F., 2003. The antiquity of African tortoises. J. Vert. Paleo. 23, 688–690.

Hrbek, T., Larson, A., 1999. The evolution of diapause in the killfish family Rivulidae (Atherinomorpha, Cyprinodontiformes): a molecular phylogenetic and biogeographic perspective. Evolution 53, 1200–1216.

Huelsenbeck, J.P., Ronquist, F., 2001. MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 17, 754–755.

International Code of Zoological Nomenclature., 1999 (fourth edition). International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London.

Iverson, J.B., Spinks, P.Q., Shaffer, H.B., McCord, W.P., Das, I., 2001. Phylogenetic relationships among the Asian tortoises of the genus *Indotestudo* (Reptilia: Testudines: Testudinidae). Hamadryad 26, 272–275.

Jackman, T.R., Larson, A., de Queiroz, K., Losos, J.B., 1999. Phylogenetic relationships and tempo of early diversification in *Anolis* lizards. Syst. Biol. 48, 254–285.

Joyce, W.G., Parham, J.F., Gauthier, J.A., 2004. Developing a protocol for the conversion of rank-based taxon names to phylogenetically defined clade names, as exemplified by turtles. J. Paleontol. 78, 989–1013.

Khozatsky, L.K., Mlynasrski, M., 1966. *Agrionemys*–nouveau genre de tortue terrestres (Testudinidae). Bull. Acad. Polonaise Sci. 14, 123–125.

Kimura, M., 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base pair substitution through comparative studies of nucleotide sequence. J. Mol. Evol. 16, 111–120.

Kolaczkowski, B., Thornton, J.W., 2004. Performance of maximum parsimony and likelihood phylogenetics when evolution is heterogeneous. Nature 431, 980–984.

Krijgsman, W., Hilgen, F.J., Raffi, I., Sierro, F.J., Wilson, D.S., 1999. Chronology, causes and progression of the Messinian salinity crisis. Nature 400, 652–655.

Kumazawa, Y., Endo, H., 2004. Mitochondrial genome of the Komodo Dragon: efficient sequencing method with reptile-oriented primers and novel gene rearrangements. DNA Res. 11 (2), 115–125.

Kumazawa, Y., Nishida, M., 1993. Sequence evolution of mitochondrial tRNA genes and deep-branch animal phylogenetics. J. Mol. Evol. 37, 380–398.

Kumazawa, Y., Ota, H., Nishida, M., Ozawa, T., 1998. The complete nucleotide sequence of a snake (*Dinodon semicarinatus*) mitochondrial genome with two identical control regions. Genetics 150 (1), 313–329.

Kuyl, A.C. van der, Ballasina, D.L.P., Dekker, J.T., Maas, J., Willemsen, R.E., Goudsmit, J., 2002. Phylogenetic relationships among the species of the genus *Testudo* (Testudines: Testudinidae) inferred from mitochondrial 12S rRNA gene sequences. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 22, 174–183.

Kuyl, A.C. van der, Ballasina, D.L.P., Zorgdrager, F., 2005. Mitochondrial haplotype diversity in the tortoise species *Testudo graeca* from North Africa and the Middle East. BMC Evol. Biol. 5, 1–8.

Lapparent de Broin, F. de, 2000a. Les Chéloniens de Sansan. Mém. Mus. Nat. d'Hist. Natur. 183, 219–261.

Lapparent de Broin, F. de, 2000b. African chelonians from the Jurassic to the present. A preliminary catalog of the African fossil chelonians. Palaeont. Afric. 36, 43–82.

Lapparentde Broin, F. de, 2001. The European turtle fauna from the Triassic to the present. Dumerilia 4, 155–216.

Lapparentde Broin, F. de, 2003. The Miocene chelonians from the southern Namibia. Mem. Geol. Surv. Namib. 19, 67–102.

Larget, B., Simon, D.L., 1999. Markov chain monte carlo algorithms for the bayesian analysis of phylogenetic trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 16 (6), 750–759.

Lavrov, D., Brown, W.M., Boore, J.L., 2004. Phylogenetic position of the Pentastomida and (pan)crustacean relationships. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 271 (1538), 537–544.

Le, T.H., Blair, D., Agatsuma, T., Humair, P.F., Campbell, N.J., Iwagami, M., Littlewood, D.T., Peacock, B., Johnston, D.A., Bartley, J., Rollinson, D., Herniou, E.A., Zarlenga, D.S., McManus, D.P., 2000. Phylogenies inferred from mitochondrial gene orders-a cautionary tale from the parasitic flatworms. Mol. Biol. Evol. 17 (7), 1123–1125.

Lee, M.S.Y., 2000. Tree robustness and clade significance. Syst. Biol. 49, 829–836.

Lindholm, W.A., 1929. Revidiertes Verzeichnis der Gattungen der rezentenSchildkröten nebst Notizen zur Nomenklatur einiger Arten. Zoolog. Anz. 81, 275–295.

Linnaeus, C., 1758. In: tenth ed.. Systema Naturae, vol. 1. Laurentius Salvius, Holmia.

Lortet, L., 1883. Etudes zoologiques sur la faune de Lac de Tiberiade. Arch. Mus. Hist. Natur., Lyon 3, 99–189.

Loveridge, A., Williams, E.E., 1957. Revision of African tortoises and turtles of the suborder Cryptodira. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Harvard 115, 163–557.

Lynch, M., Force, A., 2000. The probability of duplicate gene preservation by subfunctionalization. Genetics 154 (1), 459–473.

Macey, J.R., Larson, A., Ananjeva, N.B., Fang, Z., Papenfuss, T.J., 1997. Two novel gene orders and the role of light-strand replication in rearrangement of the vertebrate mitochondrial genome. Mol. Biol. Evol. 14, 91–104.

Macey, J.R., Schulte II, J.A., Larson, A., 2000. Evolution and phylogenetic information content of mitochondrial genomic structural features illustrated with acrodont lizards. Syst. Biol. 49 (2), 257–277.

Macey, J.R., Schulte II, J.A., Larson, A., Tuniyev, B.S., Orlov, N., Papenfuss, T.J., 1999. Molecular phylogenetics, tRNA evolution, and historical biogeography in anguid lizards and related taxonomic families. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 12, 250–272.

Macey, J.R., Verma, A., 1997. Homology in phylogenetic analysis: alignment of transfer RNA genes and the phylogenetic position of snakes. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 7, 272–279.

Maddison, W.P., 1989. Reconstructing character evolution on polytomous cladograms. Cladistics 5, 365–377.

Maddison, D.R., Maddison, W.P., 2001. MacClade 4.03. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.

Marko, P.B., Moran, A.L., 2002. Correlated evolutionary divergence of egg size and mitochondrial protein across the Isthmus of Panama. Evolution 56, 1303–1309.

McGuire, J.A., Heang, K.B., 2001. Phylogenetic systematics of Southeast Asian flying lizards (Iguania: Agamidae: *Draco*) as inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequence data. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 72, 203–229.

Meylan, P.A., Sterrer, W., 2000. *Hesperotestudo* (Testudines: Testudinidae) from the Pleistocene of Bermuda, with comments on the phylogenetic position of the genus. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 128, 51–76.

Mindell, D.P., Sorensen, M.D., Dimcheff, D.E., 1998. An extra nucleotide is not translated in mitochondrial ND3 of some birds and turtles. Mol. Biol. Evol. 15, 1568–1571.

Mueller, R.L., Macey, J.R., Jaekel, M., Wake, D.B., Boore, J.L., 2004. Morphological homoplasy, life history evolution, and historical biogeography of plethodontid salamanders: novel insights from complete mitochondrial genome sequences. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101 (38), 13820–13825.

Nylander, J.A.A., 2004. MrModeltest 2.1. Program distributed by the author. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala.

Omland, K.E., 1997. Correlated rates of molecular and morphological evolution. Evolution 51, 1381–1393.

Pande, S., Vimaladithan, A., Zhao, H., Farabaugh, P.J., 1995. Pulling the ribosome out of frame by +1 at a programmed frameshift site by cognate binding of aminoacyl-tRNA. Mol. Cell. Biol. 15 (1), 298–304.

Passamonti, M., Boore, J.L., Scali, V., 2003. Molecular evolution and recombination in gender-associated mitochondrial DNAs of the Manila Clam *Tapes philippinarum*. Genetics 164 (2), 603–611.

Perälä, J., 2001. A new species of *Testudo* (*Testudines*: Testudinidae) from the Middle East, with implications for conservation. J. Herp. 35, 567–582.

Perälä, J., Bour, R., 2004. Neotype of *Testudo terrestris* Forsskäl, 1775 (Testudines, Testudinidae). Asiat. Herp. Res. 10, 114–119.

PhyloCode, 2003. A Phylogenetic Code of Biological Nomenclature, Version 2a. http://www.ohio.edu/phylocode/preface.html.

Posada, D., Buckley, T.R., 2004. Model selection and model averaging in phylogenetics: advantages of Akaike information criterion and Bayesian approaches over likelihood ratio tests. Syst. Biol. 53, 793–808.

Posada, D., Crandall, K.A., 1998. Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14, 817–818.

Rafinesque, C.S., 1832. Description of two new genera of soft shell turtles of North America. Atl. J. Friend Knowl. Phil. 1, 64–65.

Rannala, B., Yang, Z.H., 1996. Probability distribution of molecular evolutionary trees: a new method of phylogenetic inference. J. Mol. Evol. 43, 304–311.

Robinson-Rechavi, M., Huchon, D., 2000. RRTree: relative rates tests between groups of sequences on a phylogenetic tree. Bioinformatics 16, 296–297.

Rögl, F., 1999. Mediterranean and Paratethys palaeogeography during the Oligocene and Miocene. In: Agusti, J., Rook, L., Andrews, P. (Eds.), The Evolution of Neogene Terrestrial Ecosystems in Europe. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 8–22.

Sarich, V.M., Wilson, A.C., 1973. Generation time and genomic evolution in primates. Science 179, 1144–1147.

Schlegel, H., Müller, S., 1844. Over de Schildpadden van den Indischen Archipel. Beschrijving einer nieuwe soort van Sumatra. In: Temminck, C.J. (Ed.), Verhandelingen over de natuurlijke geschiedenis der Nederlandsche overzeesche bezittingen, Pt. 3. S. and J. Luchtmans and van der Hoek, Leiden., pp. 29–36.

Schulte II, J.A., Macey, J.R., Larson, A., Papenfuss, T.J., 1998. Molecular test of phylogenetic taxonomies: a general procedure and example using four subfamilies of the lizard family I guanidae. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 10, 367–376.

Schoepff, J.D., 1792. Historia Testudinum iconibus Illustrata. Palmii, Erlangen.

Shimodaira, H., Hasegawa, M., 1999. Multiple comparisons of log-likelihoods with applications to phylogenetic inference. Mol. Biol. Evol. 16, 1114–1116.

Siebenrock, F., 1903. Über zwei seltene und eine neue Schildkröte des Berliner Museums. Sitz. Acad. Wiss. Wien Mathematur. Kl. 112, 439–445.

Spinks, P.Q., Shaffer, H.B., McCord, W.P., Iverson, J.B., 2004. Phylogenetic hypotheses for the turtle family Geoemydidae. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 32, 164–182.

Swofford, D.L., 2002. PAUP*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (* and other methods). Version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.

Swofford, D.L., Olson, G.J., Waddell, P.J., Hillis, D.M., 1996. Phylogenetic inference. In: Hillis, D.M., Moriyz, C., Mable, B.K. (Eds.), Molecular systematics. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, pp. 407–514.

Takahashi, A., Otsuka, H., Hirayama, R., 2003. A new species of *Manouria* (Testudines: Testudinidae) from the Upper Pleistocene of the Ryukyu Islands, Japan. Pal. Res. 7, 195–217.

Templeton, A.R., 1983. Phylogenetic inference from restriction site endonuclease cleavage site maps with particular reference to the humans and apes. Evolution 37, 221–244.

Townsend, T., Larson, A., 2002. Molecular phylogenetics and mitochondrial genomic evolution in the Chamaeleonidae (Reptilia, Squamata). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 23 (1), 22–36.

Wagler, J., 1830. Natürliches System der Amphibien mit vorangehender Classification der Säugethiere und Vögel. J.G. Cotta'sche Buchhandlung, München.

Weisrock, D.W., Macey, J.R., Ugurtas, I.H., Larson, A., Papenfuss, T.J., 2001. Molecular phylogenetics and historical biogeography among salamandrids of the "true" salamander clade: rapid branching of numerous highly divergent lineages in *Mertensiella luschani* associated with the rise of Anatolia. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 18, 434–448.

Wilgenbusch, J., de Queiroz, K., 2000. Phylogenetic relationships among the phrynosomatid sand lizards inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences. Syst. Biol. 49, 592–612.

Wu, C.I., Li, W.-H., 1985. Evidence for higher rates of nucleotide substitution in rodents than in man. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 1741–1745.

Ye, X., 1994. Fossil and Recent Turtles of China. Science Press, Beijing.

Yokobori, S., Fukuda, N., Nakamura, M., Aoyama, R., Oshima, T., 2004. Long-term conservation of six duplicated structural genes in cephalopod mitochondrial genomes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21 (11), 2034–2046.

Yokobori, S., Ueda, T., Feldmaier-Fuchs, G., Paabo, S., Ueshima, R., Kondow, A., Nishikawa, K., Watanabe, K., 1999. Complete DNA sequence of the mitochondrial genome of the ascidian *Halocynthia roretzi* (Chordata, Urochordata). Genetics 153 (4), 1851–1862.

Yokobori, S., Watanabe, Y., Oshima, T., 2003. Mitochondrial genome of *Ciona savignyi* (Urochordata, Ascidiacea, Enterogona): Comparison of gene arrangement and tRNA genes with *Halocynthia roretzi* mitochondrial genome. J. Mol. Evol. 57 (5), 574–587.